Two-dimensional copolymers and multifractality: Comparing perturbative expansions, Monte Carlo simulations, and exact results

C. von Ferber

Theoretical Polymer Physics, University of Freiburg, Hermann-Herder-Strasse 3, 79104 Freiburg, Germany

Yu. Holovatch

Institute for Condensed Matter Physics of the National Academy of Sciences of Ukraine, 79011 Lviv, Ukraine and Ivan Franko National University of Lviv, 79005 Lviv, Ukraine (Received 31 July 2001; published 15 March 2002)

We analyze the scaling laws for a set of two different species of long flexible polymer chains joined together at one of their extremities (copolymer stars) in space dimension D=2. We use a formerly constructed fieldtheoretic description and compare our perturbative results for the scaling exponents with recent conjectures for exact conformal scaling dimensions derived by a conformal invariance technique in the context of D=2quantum gravity. A simple Monte Carlo simulation brings about reasonable agreement with both approaches. We analyze the remarkable multifractal properties of the spectrum of scaling exponents.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.65.042801

PACS number(s): 61.41.+e, 64.60.Ak, 64.60.Fr, 11.10.Gh

Polymers serve as a testing ground for a field theory since the early 1970s [1,2]. The path-integral formulation [3] allows for a direct interpretation of the paths as conformations of random walks (RWs) and self-avoiding walks (SAWs). Closed paths in this theory have a multiplicity of the number of components M of the field. The formal limit M = 0 excludes these loops and yields the polymer limit of field theory, that describes self and mutually interacting paths. The field theoretical description of the scaling properties of polymer chains in good solvents has been generalized to the case of multicomponent polymer solutions [4] and linked polymers [5,6]. Recently, the theory was suited to describe polymer networks of different species [7,8]. The simplest nontrivial case of a heterogeneous polymer network-a starshaped copolymer in D=2 dimensions-is the subject of the present report.

Polymer field theory usually has to be evaluated in terms of a truncated perturbation theory series. Obviously, it is always of special importance to compare these with the exact results if such exist. As a rule they are available for D = 1,2. For the critical exponents that describe the scaling of homogeneous polymer chains and star polymers (or, more generally, homogeneous polymer networks) the perturbative approach [5] is in fair agreement with the exact data for D = 2 [6]. For the heterogeneous case however, only perturbative results [7,8] were available until recently. An exact solution for scaling exponents has been recently proposed that uses methods of conformal invariance on random graphs [9]. Below we undertake a comparative analysis of the properties of the perturbative solution and of the exact one and complement these results by Monte Carlo (MC) simulations. We show where the approaches agree and explain why they differ in general. In this respect, our report confirms some of the speculative results of [9] derived from the algebra of conformal dimensions for copolymer stars on 2D random graphs (quantum gravity).

A remarkable feature of the scaling spectrum of a copolymer star is that it possesses multifractal properties that can also be analyzed in terms of field theory and the conformal invariance approach [7-12].

I. COPOLYMER STARS AND THEIR SCALING EXPONENTS

Star polymers as the most simple nontrivial examples of polymer networks [5,6] are created by linking together the end points of polymer chains at a common core. Let us now consider a general star polymer made of chains of *two different species* (while all chains have equilibrium size R). When such a *copolymer star* is immersed in a good solvent its asymptotic properties are universal in the limit of long chains [1–3]. In particular, the number of configurations (the partition function Z_*) scales with R on some scale ℓ as

$$Z_* \sim (R/\ell)^{-\lambda_{f_1,f_2}},\tag{1}$$

where λ_{f_1, f_2} constitute a family of copolymer star exponents [7,8]. These are universal and depend only on the space dimension D and the number of chains of different species 1 and 2 (f_1 and f_2 , correspondingly). If a nonvanishing mutual avoidance interaction is present between the chains of species 1 and 2 polymer field theory predicts two nontrivial physically different regimes [4] for the scaling behavior of true copolymer stars described by Eq. (1): In the first case, the polymers of species 1 behave as RWs and the polymers of species 2 as SAWs, whereas in the second case both species display RW behavior [13]. For these two relevant cases a renormalization-group description of the exponents λ_{f_1,f_2} has been given in terms of the scaling dimensions of appropriately composed composite operators of polymer field theory [7]. In particular, the resulting perturbation theory was derived in the form of an $\varepsilon = 4 - D$ expansion as well as evaluated directly for fixed D [14]. Following Ref. [7] where the copolymer star exponents η_{f_1,f_2} were calculated, the arepsilonexpansion for the $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ exponents of a copolymer star composed of two mutually avoiding sets of RWs ($\lambda_{f_1,f_2}^G = -\eta_{f_1,f_2}^G$) and for a star of mutually avoiding sets of SAWs and RWs $(\lambda_{f_1,f_2}^U = -\eta_{f_1,f_2}^U + f_1\eta_{2,0}^U)$ read D = 2

 ε^3

Lower part: λ_{f_1,f_2}^U (f_1 SAWs and f_2 RWs).							*1*2				
$f_1; f_2$	1;1	1;2	1;3	1;4	1;5	2;1	2;2	2;3	2;4	2;5	
exact	1.25	2	2.693	3.356	4		2.916	3.738	4.510	5.25	
MC	1.251	1.986	2.662	3.295	3.908		2.913	3.703	4.506		
$\pm \Delta$	0.004	0.004	0.005	0.015	0.022		0.005	0.030	0.039		
D = 2	1.22	2.00	2.58	3.04	3.43		3.45	4.59	5.52	6.34	
ε^3	1.20	2.00	2.56	2.99	3.36		3.41	4.49	5.37	6.13	
Exact	0.38	1.04	1.67	2.28	2.88	0.88	1.79	2.61	3.39	4.13	

2.41

2.41

TABLE I. Exponents λ calculated by different techniques. Upper part: λ_{f_1,f_2}^G (f_1 RWs and f_2 RWs).

$$\lambda_{f_{1},f_{2}}^{G}(\varepsilon) = \frac{f_{1}f_{2}\varepsilon}{2} \left\{ 1 - (f_{2} - 3 + f_{1})\frac{\varepsilon}{4} \left[1 - (f_{1} + f_{2} + 3\zeta(3) - 3)\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \right] \right\},$$
(2)

1.01

1.03

1.54

1.57

2.02

2.01

0.34

0.32

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{f_1,f_2}^U(\varepsilon) &= -f_1(3-f_1-3f_2)\frac{\varepsilon}{8} - f_1(43-33f_1+8f_1^2-91f_2 \\ &\quad +42f_1f_2+18f_2^2)\frac{\varepsilon^2}{256} - f_1[675-969f_1+456f_1^2 \\ &\quad -64f_1^3-2463f_2+2290f_1f_2-492f_1^2f_2+1050f_2^2 \\ &\quad -504f_1f_2^2-108f_2^3-\zeta(3)(712-936f_1+224f_1^2 \\ &\quad -2652f_2+1188f_1f_2+540f_2^2)]\frac{\varepsilon^3}{4096}, \end{split}$$

where $\zeta(3) \approx 1.202$ is the value of the Riemann zeta function. While we do not display the exponents calculated at fixed space dimension D here explicitly, we show their numerical values below.

The perturbative formulas of the kind given in Eqs. (2)-(3) may be evaluated for D=3 where the topological complexity of the problem does not allow for an exact treatment. One should be aware that for similar reasons an exact expression for the partition function Z_* , formula (1), cannot be obtained for D=2 either. However, appropriate identification of the universality classes of D=2 critical behavior with conformally invariant theories often allows us to extract the exact values of critical exponents. In Refs. [9] this was successfully performed for the D=2 copolymer star of two or more mutually avoiding bunches of SAWs and RWs. Here, we analyze a copolymer star of one or two RWs or SAWs of species 1 and a bunch of f_2 RWs of species 2. In the notation of the above equations the following exact expressions for the corresponding exponents are derived from Refs. [9]:

$$\lambda_{1f_2}^G = \frac{4f_2 + 1 + a}{8}, \quad \lambda_{2f_2}^G = \frac{12f_2 + 11 + 5a}{24}, \qquad (4)$$

$$\lambda_{1,f_2}^U = \frac{12f_2 - 13 + 2a}{24}, \quad \lambda_{2,f_2}^U = \frac{12f_2 - 16 + 5a}{24}, \quad (5)$$

with $a = \sqrt{24f_2 + 1}$.

1.85

1.87

2.80

2.82

3.64

3.62

4.39

4.34

0.72

0.76

As far as this is not an exact solution in terms of the original theory but rather an "exact conjecture" for the exponents we performed one more check of the scaling exponents by a MC simulation for the simplest case when the copolymer star consists of RWs only $(\lambda_{1,f_2}^G, \lambda_{2,f_2}^G)$. In these simulations the stars are grown on a square lattice until a nonallowed intersection occurs. The number of stars C(N)generated during the growing process is accumulated for all chain lengths N. Exponents are extracted assuming a power law $C(N) \sim N^{-\nu_0 \lambda_{f_1, f_2}}$ where the RW correlation length exponent is $\nu_0 = 1/2$. We generate stars up to a maximum chain length $N_{\text{max}} = 10^3$ and accumulate configurations until $C(N_{\text{max}}) = 10^5$. The number of successful attempts to grow stars with longer chains decreases rapidly for higher $f_1 + f_2$ which increases simulation time drastically. While for the case 1+1 a total of 10^6 attempts were needed, this number rose to 5×10^9 for 5+1. Therefore, we report results only for $f_1 + f_2 \leq 6$. From the bare simulation data we extracted the numerical values of the exponents by an extrapolation to $1/N \rightarrow 0$. In Table I we report the results and the statistical error of this extrapolation in the lines marked "MC" and " $\pm \Delta$." We compare with the exact numbers according to Eqs. (4). The results are in fair agreement with a slightly growing discrepancy as the number of RWs f_2 increases.

In Fig. 1 we compare the results with the perturbative data of the ε expansion naively adding successive orders of the perturbation theory series (2). We show the ε^2 and ε^3 approximations. The values predicted by successive orders of the ε expansion for $\varepsilon = 2$ do not seem to correlate with each other nor with the exact values of the d=2 exponents. It is not only the large expansion parameter $\varepsilon = 2$ that spoils the convergence of the perturbation theory. As is well known, the perturbation theory series of renormalized field theory are asymptotic at best [16] and have zero radius of convergence [17]. An appropriate resummation technique must be used to extract reliable data from the series. Here we use a Borel resummation refined by a conformal mapping [15] as described for this particular case in Ref. [7].

The resummation procedure allows us to restore convergence of the ε expansion and enables us to extract reliable exponent values. These are also shown in Fig. 1. The same resummation is applied for the renormalization-group expan-



FIG. 1. Exponent $\lambda_{1,n}^G$. Lines: exact (bold), bare ε^2 (dashed), and ε^3 (dotted) data. Symbols: MC (\blacksquare , size is larger than errorbars); the resummed ε^3 (\bullet), and D=2 data (\bullet) are connected by thin lines.

sions at fixed D=2 [14]. All data are summarized in Table I. For $\lambda_{1,2}^G$ perturbation theory gives the exact result at first order with all higher orders vanishing [18]. The corresponding D=3 dimensional results may be derived from the data given in Ref. [7]. The lower part of the table contains the data for the resummed values of the exponents λ_{2,f_2}^U for the combined SAW and RW copolymer stars. We show the values obtained by the fixed D=2 technique and the ε expansion in comparison with their exact counterparts (5). Again, the results are in fair agreement for not too large numbers of chains f_2 . Indeed as one can see from the formulas (2)–(3) the increase of f_2 leads to an increase of the ε expansion coefficients resulting in a growing inaccuracy even of the resummed series.

In the perturbative treatment (which starts from the upper critical dimension D=4 [2]) the order of the chains in the star does not matter for the scaling laws: there is always a possibility for every chain to interact with any other chain constituting a star. On the other hand, in D=2 the order of chains does matter: each chain of the star will interact only with its direct neighbors. This topological restriction has to be taken into account when comparing exact and perturbative results for D=2 linked polymers.

The data of Table I convince us that the perturbation theory series for low numbers of chains f_2 is reliable even for D=2. Also, we note that the D=2 copolymers with $f_1=2$ are worse described by the perturbation theory than those with $f_1=1$ where the topological restriction is not present, due to the symmetry of ordering.

II. MULTIFRACTAL SPECTRUM

It is of special interest to notice another physical interpretation for the copolymer star exponents (1). For the diffusion of freely moving particles in the presence of a polymer absorber it may be shown [10] that the moments of particle density display universal scaling laws in the vicinity of the absorbing polymer. In particular, the *n*th moment $\langle \rho^n \rangle$ at distance *r* from the core of an absorbing polymer star with *m* chains scales as [7,10]

$$\langle \rho^n(r) \rangle \sim (R/r)^{-\lambda_{m,n}},$$
 (6)

here $\langle \cdots \rangle$ denotes the ensemble average over the configurations of the absorbing polymers while otherwise we use the notations of formula (1). The harmonic measure that corresponds to this absorption phenomenon possesses multifractal [10-12] properties: The flux ϕ of diffusing particles onto the polymer star is interpreted as a measure defined on the fractal structure of the star polymer. This flux is proportional to the particle density (6) some small distance ℓ away from an absorbing point on the star polymer. The following scaling law for the normalized moments of the harmonic measure at the core of the star polymer is derived:

$$\langle \phi^n \rangle / \langle \phi \rangle^n \sim (R/\ell)^{-\tau_{m,n}}.$$
 (7)

Comparing formulas (6) and (7) the obvious relation between the exponents τ and λ is

$$\tau_{m,n} = \lambda_{m,n} - n\lambda_{m,1}. \tag{8}$$

Note, that here we use the standard definition [10] for the spectrum of the exponents τ , including normalization of the *n*th moment of the flux in Eq. (7) by $\langle \phi \rangle^n$. The expression (7) is a multifractal measure as far as the spectrum τ is nontrivial ($\tau \neq 0$). Obviously, the spectrum λ is nontrivial as well, as one can see already from the second-order perturbative results (2), (3): $\lambda_{mn} \neq n \lambda_{m1}$.

The description of multifractal phenomena often uses the spectral function formalism [12]. To obtain this function for the absorption process on the center of a star of *m* chains we analytically continue the set of exponents τ_{mn} in the variable *n* and calculate the following Legendre transform:

$$f_m(\alpha_{mn}) = -\tau_{mn} + n\alpha_{mn} + d(1-n),$$

$$\alpha_{mn} = \frac{\mathrm{d}\tau_{mn}}{\mathrm{d}n} + d. \tag{9}$$

According to the standard definition [10] we have included into Eq. (9) the fractal dimension d of the absorber. In particular, this gives the maximal value of the spectral function to be equal to $d - \tau_{m0} \equiv d - \lambda_{m0}$. There appears to be no natural generalization of d for arbitrary m. In our presentation we use that the fractal dimension of a polymer star is equal to that of a polymer chain (d=2 for a RW and d=4/3 for aSAW in D=2, correspondingly). Another way to define the multifractal spectrum is chosen in Refs. [9] where the *n*th moments (7) are normalized not by $\langle \phi \rangle^n$ but by $\langle \phi \rangle$. This choice has the remarkable feature that without introducing an additional dimension d in Eq. (9) the maximum of $f(\alpha) d$ = 4/3 for both the SAW and the RW absorbers with f_1 = 2. However, this definition is not general, as already in D=3 it does not lead to the correct location of the spectral function maximum.



FIG. 2. The spectral function for the absorption at a RW end. Symbols as in Fig. 1.

We display the spectral function for the case of the absorption at a RW end in Fig. 2. The spectral function is negative for some values of α . This behavior was observed already in the perturbative studies of Ref. [10] and related to the ensemble average in formulas (6), (7) in contrast to the usual site average over the support of the measure. Comparing the perturbative, the MC, and the exact results we note that the region of $1 \le n \le 5$ in which reasonable agreement for the spectra λ and τ is found corresponds to a rather small region in terms of the parameter α in the left wing of the spectral functions.

- P.-G de Gennes, Scaling Concepts in Polymer Physics (Cornell University Press, Ithaca, 1979).
- [2] J. des Cloizeaux and G. Jannink, *Polymers in Solution*. (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1990); L. Schäfer, *Excluded Volume Effects in Polymer Solutions* (Springer, Berlin, 1999).
- [3] H. Kleinert, Path Integrals in Quantum Mechanics, Statistics and Polymer Physics (World Scientific, Singapore, 1995).
- [4] J.-F. Joanny, L. Leibler, and R. Ball, J. Chem. Phys. 81, 4640 (1984); J.F. Douglas and K.F. Freed, *ibid.* 86, 4280 (1987); L. Schäfer and Ch. Kappeler, J. Physiol. (Paris) 46, 1853 (1985); Colloid Polym. Sci. 268, 995 (1990).
- [5] B. Duplantier, J. Stat. Phys. 54, 581 (1989); L. Schäfer, C. von Ferber, U. Lehr, and B. Duplantier, Nucl. Phys. B 374, 473 (1992); C. von Ferber and Yu. Holovatch, Condens. Matter Phys. 5, 8 (1995); Theor. Math. Phys. 109, 34 (1996). [Engl. transl.: Theor. Math. Phys. 109, 1274 (1996)].
- [6] B. Duplantier, Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 941 (1986); B. Duplantier and H. Saleur, *ibid.* 57, 3179 (1986); H. Saleur, J. Phys. A 19, L807 (1986).
- [7] C. von Ferber and Yu. Holovatch, Phys. Rev. E 56, 6370 (1997).
- [8] C. von Ferber and Yu. Holovatch, Condens. Matter Phys. 10, 9 (1997); Europhys. Lett. 39, 31 (1997); Physica A 249, 327

III. CONCLUSIONS

The field theoretical description of a polymer network of different species has been derived recently [7,8] in the form of renormalization-group perturbation theory series. In this report, we compared and verified the perturbation expansions for D=2 with a subsequently published exact study [9] and MC simulations. We found that the series when appropriately resummed give a reliable description of the scaling of copolymer stars with not too many chains even for the D=2 dimensional case. Not only the increase of the expansion parameter forbids application of the perturbative results for higher numbers of chains: in D=2 topological restrictions appear that are not taken into account by field theory.

We have analyzed the multifractal properties of the resulting spectra of exponents and found that the spectrum $\tau(n)$ is in much better coincidence with the exact counterpart than the spectral function $f(\alpha)$. In the latter case the perturbative approach gives comparable results only for a narrow region in the left wing of the spectral function. Note however, that the right wing corresponds to negative values of *n*, where obviously the analytic continuation of the perturbative expansions as well as of the exact results is speculative.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

Support of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft is gratefully acknowledged.

(1998); Phys. Rev. E **59**, 6914 (1999); J. Mol. Liq. **93**, 155 (2001); C. von Ferber, Yu. Holovatch, A. Jusufi, C.N. Likos, H. Löwen, and M. Watzlawek, *ibid.* **93**, 151 (2001).

- [9] B. Duplantier, Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 880 (1999); Physica A 263, 452 (1999).
- [10] M.E. Cates and T.A. Witten, Phys. Rev. A 35, 1809 (1987).
- [11] H.G.E. Hentschel and I. Procaccia, Physica D 8, 435 (1983).
- [12] T.C. Halsey, M.H. Jensen, L.P. Kadanoff, I. Procaccia, and B.I. Shraiman, Phys. Rev. A 33, 1141 (1986).
- [13] The third possibility when both species 1 and 2 behave as SAWs reduces [4] to the homogeneous star [5].
- [14] In this case the expansion is performed in a "pseudo- ε " parameter, as explained, e.g., in [15].
- [15] J.C. Le Guillou and J. Zinn-Justin, Phys. Rev. B 21, 3976 (1980).
- [16] See, e.g., J. Zinn-Justin, *Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1996); H. Kleinert and V. Schulte-Frohlinde, *Critical Properties of* ϕ^4 -*Theories* (World Scientific, Singapore, 2001).
- [17] G. Hardy, *Divergent Series* (Oxford University Press, New York, Press, 1948).
- [18] A.N. Semenov, J. Phys. (France) 49, 1353 (1988).